Application No. 09/648,122 Amendment dated July 14, 2004 Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Claims 2-13, 15-21 and 23-31 stand allowed.

Claims 1, 14 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et

al US Patent No. 6,097,954. Applicants proposed an amendment to Claims 1, 14 and 22 to

overcome the Kumar reference, in an amendment sent to the USPTO on July 14, 2004. In an

Advisory Action dated October 8, 2004, the Examiner refused to enter the above identified

amendment on the grounds that "they raise new issues that would require further consideration

and/or search". In order to further prosecution on the present application, Applicant has canceled

Claims 1, 14 and 22 from the pending application but anticipates filing a continuation application to

further pursue Claims 1, 14 and 22 which Applicant believes are allowable over the cited references.

An amendment after a final rejection should be entered when it will place the case either in

condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. 37 C.F.R. 1.116; MPEP 714.12. This

amendment places the case in condition for allowance.

Claims 2-13, 15-21 and 23-31 stand allowed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request

allowance of the application as the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

On o. Yeur

Texas Instruments Incorporated P.O. Box 655474, M/S 3999

Dallas, Texas 75265

Phone: 972/917-5299 Fax: 972/917-4418

Ronald O. Neerings Reg. No. 34,227 Attorney for Applicants

TI-31713 -10-